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Loftin Distribution* was an industrial distributor. Industrial distributors purchased a wide range of products from manufacturers and then sold these parts and provided related services to manufacturers, other businesses, and governments. For example, some industrial distributors specialized in electrical or plumbing parts and materials, while others sold fasteners. Loftin's managers described the company as a materials handling company: Loftin and its competitors sold pumps, conveyor belts, bearings, and other parts used by their customers to move materials from one place to another. ${ }^{1}$

## Industrial Distribution

Industrial distribution customers were classified into two main categories: original equipment manufacturers (OEM), who put the parts into products they made, and maintenance, repair, and operations (MRO) customers, who used the parts, equipment, and services to maintain their facilities. An OEM customer might purchase bearings to put into the products it produced, and an MRO customer might buy bearings to use in maintaining its own equipment.

The performance of industrial distributors was sensitive to the overall business climate of its customers. Sales and profits for industrial distributors tended to track the U.S. Industrial Production Index and the Manufacturing Capacity Utilization index, which was published by the Federal Reserve Board. When the economy slowed, OEM customers ordered fewer component parts, while MRO customers did less maintenance and decreased their capital spending for equipment. ${ }^{2}$

The effects of recession and collapse of financial markets hit the sales volume and profitability of industrial distributors beginning late in 2008 and continuing through 2009. Sales to customers in mining and gas and oil industries, for example, dropped sharply; sales to customers in agriculture and food processing were less affected by the recession. Loftin’s managers estimated that in 2009, MRO sales were down 10-12 percent industry-wide, and OEM sales were down more than 24 percent. ${ }^{3}$

Loftin's industry was highly fragmented. Its managers identified the company's main competitors as Applied Industrial Technologies (2009 sales, \$1.9 billion), DXP Enterprises (2009 sales, \$445 million), Kaman Industrial Technologies (2009 sales, \$646 million), and Motion Industries ( 2009 sales, $\$ 2.9$ billion) ${ }^{45678}$ These four plus Loftin (with 2009 sales of $\$ 100.4$ million) represented about 15 percent of the industry; total sales for the industry as a whole generally were about $\$ 45$ billion. ${ }^{9}$ Many companies in the industry were quite small, with just one or a few locations. While the industry was still rather fragmented in 2009, there had

Evaluating Loftin - 33 www.swcra.net
been a move toward consolidation: over the previous several years, Loftin and its four larger competitors had grown primarily through acquisition of other industrial distributors. ${ }^{1011121314}$

Industrial distributors such as Loftin typically acquired their inventory through non-exclusive agreements with manufacturers. Therefore, Loftin and some of its competitors carried similar assortments of products and brands. To encourage sale of their products, manufacturers offered their distributors incentive and rebate programs, generally based on the size of purchases. These incentives were critical to the profitability of a distributor because they could reduce the cost of goods sold, perhaps by 10 to 15 percent. ${ }^{15}$ Manufacturers also supported the advertising programs of distributors, helping to pay for print ads, websites, and events (such as golf tournaments) for the distributors' customers. ${ }^{16}$

Generally, customers had maintained relationships with several distributors, buying a limited number or range of products from each. However, to reduce purchasing costs and improve inventory management, some customers began limiting the number of suppliers they dealt with. ${ }^{17}$ This trend among customers contributed to the consolidation in the distribution industry as distributors sought to increase the breadth of their product lines.

## Loftin Distribution

Loftin Distribution, headquartered in Dallas, Texas, was established in 1945 as an automotive wholesale business. It evolved into a bearings and power transmission business. In recent years, it had broadened its product offerings to increase the range of items it sold to its customers. Loftin served its customers from a total of 42 locations, most located in the eastern half of Texas. ${ }^{18}$ See Tables 1 and 2 for a summaries of important information about Loftin. Appendix 1 contains financial statements for Loftin.

Loftin provided products and services to both OEM and MRO customers. Bob Loftin, the CEO, said that Loftin's customer was "anybody who makes anything," but most of the customers operated in the following industries:

- Aggregate (companies in cement, concrete, roadwork, mining)
- Oil and gas
- Industrial operations (such as car shredding)
- Agriculture and food processing
- Lumber
- Wastewater processing ${ }^{19}$

Table 1
Loftin Distribution.
Headquarters, Dallas Texas

| Number of locations | 42 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of locations | Texas, Arkansas, New Mexico, Arizona, <br> Louisiana, Utah |
| Number of employees | 360 |
| Sales for fiscal year 2009 | $\$ 100.4$ million |
| Number of SKU’s in stock | 28,000 |
| Number of items it can sell | 250,000 |
| Customers' industries | Aggregate, food processing, oil and gas, <br> lumber, waste water processing, car shredding |
| Example suppliers | Timken, Martin Engineering, Fenner Dunlop, <br> Baldor, ABB, Eaton |
| Products and services | Bearings, seals, conveyor belts, motors, drives, <br> shafts, bulk materials handling systems, gear <br> reducers, pneumatic and hydraulic components |

(Southwest Case Research Association, 2010)
Loftin's operations are structured in seven strategic business units (SBUs), as follows:
Table 2
Loftin's Strategic Business Units

| SBU | Products and services |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loftin Bearing Service | Bearings, seals, power transmission <br> components, and geared product |
| CapCorp Total Belting Solutions | Sale, installation, and repair of conveyor <br> belts |
| Triad Industrial Automation | Motors, drives, sensors, and industrial <br> controls |
| American Keyed and Custom Shafts | Plain, keyed, and custom shafts |
| U.S. Conveying Systems and Equipment | Bulk material handling systems, screw <br> conveyors, and bucket elevators |
| National Mechanical Power Systems | Large gear reducers and other engineered <br> products |
| HydraAir Fluid Power Products | Pneumatic and hydraulic components and <br> full system design |

(Southwest Case Research Association, 2010)
The SBUs were run by degreed engineers, allowing the company to offer more advanced service to its customers than its competitors. ${ }^{20}$
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According to Loftin's managers, the average gross profit in the industry was 23 to 27 percent of sales; Loftin's gross profit usually was around 31 percent. To achieve this level of markup, the company had to provide excellent products and services. Loftin's managers classified product quality in the industry into four tiers, and they asserted that the products sold by Loftin were top tier. Virtually everything sold by Loftin came from US manufacturers because those products had the best reputation for quality. ${ }^{21}$

Cameron Barker, Loftin's vice president for corporate operations, said that the company's intent was not to provide the lowest cost product, but rather "to provide the lowest cost of ownership to customers." ${ }^{22}$ When an MRO customer needed an equipment repair, the greatest cost was not the purchase price for the parts; it was the production lost because of down time. Therefore, Loftin offered free delivery to its customers 24 hours per day, operating its own fleet of delivery trucks. Loftin also got product as close to the customer as it could, holding substantial inventory in the local markets. Loftin managers believed that carrying more inventory reduced inventory turnover but increased the company's gross profit percentage. ${ }^{23}$

## Applied Industrial Technologies

Applied Industrial Technologies (AIT), which Loftin managers identified as a major competitor, was headquartered in Cleveland, Ohio. See Table 3 for more information about AIT. It was a larger company than Loftin, with 2009 sales of $\$ 1.9$ billion. AIT had 460 locations, in 47 states, Puerto Rico, Mexico, and Canada, enabling it to serve large corporations that had locations spread across North America. Like Loftin, AIT made sales to both MRO and OEM customers. ${ }^{24}$ AIT's financial statements are in Appendix 2 to this case.

AIT's management described the company as 'one of North America's leading distributors' of bearings, power transmission and fluid power components, conveyor belts, industrial rubber products, safety products, and general maintenance products. The company offered installation, field service, and repair services for many of its products. ${ }^{25}$

AIT sought to help customers minimize production downtime and reduce procurement and maintenance costs. It maintained product inventories at each of its locations tailored to the needs of local customers and emphasized timely delivery of products for customers making emergency repairs. AIT customers had access to an automated storeroom replenishment system, Applied STORE®. ${ }^{26}$

Table 3
Applied Industrial Technologies
Headquarters: Cleveland, Ohio

| Number of locations | More than 460 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Distribution of locations | 47 states, Canada, Mexico, Puerto Rico. 22 of <br> the locations are in Texas |
| Number of employees | 4,700 |
| Sales for fiscal year 2009 | $\$ 1.9$ billion |
| Number of SKU's | $3,000,000$ |
| Number of items it can sell | Aggregate, automotive, government, HVAC, <br> cement, mining, energy efficiency, <br> petrochemical, ethanol production, <br> pharmaceutical, power generation, food <br> processing, wastewater treatment |
| Customers' industries | Timken, Dodge, 3M, Baldor, Eaton, Fenner <br> Example suppliers <br> Products and servicesFluid power systems, equipment repairs, <br> adhesives, bearings, hydraulics, pneumatics, <br> safety products, industrial hose and fittings |

(Applied Industrial Technologies, 2009 and 2010)

## Assignment:

Read and study the case, including the Tables and financial statements, then answer the questions your instructor has assigned from the following list:

1. Loftin's income statement is more detailed than AIT's. The amount of detail on Loftin's income statement may be useful to the managers of the organization, but it makes comparison to other companies difficult. Prepare less detailed income statements for Loftin for the years 2009, 2008, and 2007, combining amounts and making other changes as necessary to make the income statements more comparable to those of AIT.
2. How are Loftin's financial statements affected by the fact that it is a partnership and not a corporation? Look at the balance sheets for Loftin and AIT; also compare the condensed income statements you prepared for question 1 to the income statements for AIT.
3. Appendix 3 includes formulas for many of the common ratios. The formulas are written for businesses organized as corporations. (For example, some of the formulas refer to dividends or stockholders' equity.) How would ratio analysis for Loftin be affected by the fact that it is a partnership? How might some of the formulas be adjusted so that they could be used for a partnership? What ratios could you calculate for Loftin?
4. Prepare analyses (ratio analyses and trend analysis) to compare Loftin's performance in 2009 to 2008 and then 2008 to 2007. How did the recession, which started late in 2008, affect the company's performance? What can you tell about changes Loftin's managers may have made in response to the recession?
5. Prepare analyses (ratio analyses and trend analysis) to compare AIT's performance in 2009 to 2008 and then 2008 to 2007. How did the recession, which started late in 2008, affect the company's performance? What can you tell about changes AIT's managers may have made in response to the recession?
6. Compare Loftin's performance in 2009, 2008, and 2007 to the results for AIT. In evaluating a company's performance, you may often look first at net income. However, Loftin is a partnership (and therefore, not a taxable entity), while AIT is a corporation (and must pay corporate income taxes). What amount(s) would you use from the income statement in comparing the two companies? Which company appears to have fared better during the recession?
7. Comparing a large company (such as AIT) to a smaller company (Loftin) can be difficult. Prepare common size income statements and balance sheets for both companies for 2009 and 2008. Look at the results. What have you learned from this analysis?
8. The Financial Accounting Standards Board has talked about the importance and value of information about a business's cash flows, but it asserts that the income statement does a better job of reporting a company's performance. After looking at the financial statements for AIT and Loftin, what do you think?
9. Motion Industries is an industrial distributor that competes against Loftin. It is a subsidiary of Genuine Parts Corporation, which prepares consolidated financial statements including all of its operations in various industries. It is required to disclose segment information for its various operating segments. Explain why the disclosure of segment information is required for public corporations. The financial information for Motion Industries is shown below, with amounts in thousands of dollars.

|  | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net sales | $\$ 2,885,782$ | $\$ 3,514,661$ | $\$ 3,350,954$ | $\$ 3,107,593$ | $\$ 2,795,699$ |
| Operating <br> profit | 162,353 | 294,652 | 281,762 | 257,022 | 214,222 |
| Assets | 865,431 | $1,025,292$ | 969,666 | 910,734 | 976,903 |
| Depreciation <br> and <br> amortization | 7,611 | 7,632 | 8,565 | 7,941 | 8,345 |
| Capital <br> expenditures | 2,987 | 7,575 | 8,340 | 6,187 | 5,695 |

(Genuine Parts Company, 2009)

Use this information to make comparisons to Loftin or to AIT. How useful do you find this segment information to be?
10. Loftin's managers believe that its customer service allows it to earn a higher gross profit margin than its competitors. The managers also expect that Loftin may have slower inventory turnover because it keeps a large amount of inventory close to its customers.
a) Compare Loftin's gross profit margin and inventory turnover to the amounts for AIT. Does Loftin have higher gross profit and lower inventory turnover?
b) If Loftin's business model does result in higher gross profit and lower inventory turnover, what performance measure would you use to assess the attractiveness or desirability of Loftin's approach?
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## Appendix 1

Financial Statements for Loftin Distribution Income Statements

| For Yrs Ended 12/31 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues, net | \$ 100,355,957 | \$ 122,253,475 | \$ 117,534,228 |
| Cost of goods sold | 68,666,757 | 82,619,072 | 79,815,443 |
| Gross profit | \$ 31,689,200 | \$ 39,634,403 | \$ 37,718,785 |
| Operating expenses |  |  |  |
| Accounting | \$ 110,737 | \$ 114,177 | \$ 98,086 |
| Advertising | 77,577 | 9,352 | 22,010 |
| Consulting fees | 56,109 | 111,293 | 141,616 |
| Auto gas | 521,632 | 763,027 | 635,401 |
| Auto reimbursement | $(1,465)$ | $(9,492)$ | 2,201 |
| Auto repair | 142,174 | 149,858 | 143,197 |
| Auto taxes | 39,372 | 26,446 | 15,880 |
| Bank charges | 63,645 | 57,525 | 47,977 |
| Building rent | 845,565 | 738,665 | 720,810 |
| Building repair | 76,996 | 132,274 | 100,488 |
| Credit card | 273,592 | 257,734 | 242,673 |
| Computer | 22,686 | 19,243 | 24,029 |
| Data processing | 586,220 | 410,145 | 348,201 |
| Depreciation | 1,058,390 | 955,002 | 844,809 |
| Donations | 4,848 | 4,565 |  |
| Dues \& subscriptions | 24,992 | 8,856 | 8,000 |
| Insurance - auto | 102,362 | 98,082 | 80,060 |
| Insurance - commercial | 81,028 | 96,264 | 85,172 |
| Insurance - health | 1,089,547 | 1,064,477 | 1,362,175 |
| Insurance - employers | 78,455 | 59,363 | 71,828 |
| Interest | 26,793 | 28,073 | 154,431 |
| Legal | 25,300 | 58,605 | 55,734 |
| Management fees \& expenses | 8,000 | 4,000 |  |
| Meals \& entertainment | 183,008 | 160,339 | 148,911 |
| Office supplies | 220,245 | 169,129 | 178,087 |
| Warehouse supplies | 115,265 | 130,343 | 95,900 |
| Mail processing \& postage | 72,326 | 77,174 | 90,670 |


| Other administrative | 11,858 | 21,315 | 15,502 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rent | 12,329 | 11,257 | 24,749 |
| Repairs | 94,607 | 130,772 | 166,740 |
| Salaries | $15,961,670$ | $15,664,936$ | $14,284,314$ |
| 401 K contributions | 105,197 | 158,200 | 151,700 |
| State taxes | 135,501 | 181,139 | 150,623 |
| Payroll taxes | $1,260,213$ | $1,131,491$ | $1,062,093$ |
| Property taxes | 451,803 | 460,022 | 378,175 |
| Security | 8,213 | 12,381 | 387 |
| Temporaries | 304,992 | 600,014 | 654,875 |
| Sales taxes | 116,876 | 87,422 | 139,160 |
| Telephone - local | 155,556 | 133,869 | 132,311 |
| Telephone - long |  |  |  |
| distance | 54,378 | 58,680 | 50,634 |
| Pagers | 2,258 | 3,651 | 5,400 |
| Cell phones | 75,259 | 64,244 | 55,795 |
| Travel | 194,974 | 143,546 | 125,189 |
| Utilities | 380,040 | 361,833 | 329,659 |
| Total operating expense | $\$ 25,231,123$ | $\$ 24,919,291$ | $\$$ |
| Other income/expenses | 66,774 | 304,720 | 68,652 |
| Net income | $\$, 524,851$ | $\$ 15,019,833$ | $\$ 14,341,256$ |

Balance Sheets

| As of 12/31 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash | $\$ 4,271,723$ | $\$ 1,374,674$ | $\$ 763,390$ |
| Accounts receivable | $10,702,149$ | $14,780,455$ | $13,198,212$ |
| Inventory | $23,483,785$ | $26,315,348$ | $20,890,168$ |
| Notes receivable | 312,899 | 323,683 | 119,054 |
| Total current assets | $38,770,556$ | $42,794,160$ | $34,970,824$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Fixed assets, net | $12,637,996$ | $11,153,517$ | $9,277,307$ |
| Deposits | 28,142 | 24,441 | 34,524 |
| Intangibles | $2,890,901$ | $2,506,720$ | 77,720 |
| Total noncurrent assets | $15,557,039$ | $13,684,678$ | $9,389,551$ |
| Total assets | $\$ 54,327,595$ | $\$ 56,478,838$ | $\$ 44,360,375$ |
|  |  |  |  |


*Loftin had a line of credit with its bank These bank overdrafts represented amounts of checks that Loftin had written but that had not yet reached its bank. When the checks did reach the bank, the bank covered the checks and added these amounts to Loftin's balance on the line of credit.

Statements of Cash Flows

| For the years ended <br> December 31 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash flows from operating <br> activities |  |  |  |
| Net income | $\$$ | $6,524,851$ | $\$ 15,019,833$ |
| Add (deduct) noncash items |  |  | $14,341,256$ |
| Bad debt expense | 206,568 | 91,114 | 119,529 |
| Depreciation \& amortization | $1,058,390$ | 955,002 | 844,809 |
| Gain on sale of property | $(31,496)$ | $(217,333)$ | $(60,715)$ |
| Cash provided by working <br> capital components |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | $3,871,738$ | $(1,016,133)$ | $(995,760)$ |


| Inventories |  | 2,831,563 |  | $(4,115,226)$ |  | $(2,610,594)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepaid expenses, deposits, and other assets |  | 7,084 |  | $(194,547)$ |  | 6,935 |
| Intangible assets |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $(1,000)$ |
| Accounts payable and overdraft |  | $(1,423,564)$ |  | 531,587 |  | 387,571 |
| Accrued expenses |  | $(602,581)$ |  | 425,082 |  | 252,394 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ | 12,442,553 | \$ | 11,479,159 | \$ | 12,284,425 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | \$ | $(2,592,607)$ | \$ | $(3,054,757)$ | \$ | $(2,474,535)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of assets |  | 81,234 |  | 591,878 |  | 93,861 |
| Cash used for business acquisitions |  | $(383,181)$ |  | $(4,446,958)$ |  | 0 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ | $(2,894,554)$ | \$ | $(6,909,837)$ | \$ | $(2,380,674)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from notes payable and line of credit | \$ | 5,311,129 | \$ | 6,489,670 | \$ | 24,163,678 |
| Repayments of notes payable and line of credit |  | $(8,770,133)$ |  | $(5,022,476)$ |  | $(28,086,498)$ |
| Distributions to partners |  | $(3,191,945)$ |  | $(5,425,233)$ |  | $(5,654,454)$ |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ | $(6,650,949)$ | \$ | $(3,958,039)$ | \$ | (9,577,274) |
| Net increase in cash | \$ | 2,897,050 | \$ | 611,283 | \$ | 326,477 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year |  | 1,374,673 |  | 763,390 |  | 436,914 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ | 4,271,723 | \$ | 1,374,673 | \$ | 763,391 |

(Southwest Case Research, 2010)

## Appendix 2

Financial Statements for Applied Industrial Technologies
(amounts in thousands except per-share amounts)

| Statements of Consolidated Income |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year Ended June 30, | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Net Sales | $\$ 1,923,148$ | $\$ 2,089,456$ | $\$ 2,014,109$ |
| Cost of Sales | $1,403,138$ | $1,520,173$ | $1,466,057$ |
| Gross Margin | 520,010 | 569,283 | 548,052 |
| Selling, Distribution and <br> Administrative | 410,912 | 416,459 | 413,041 |
| Goodwill Impairment | 36,605 |  |  |
| Operating Income | 72,493 | 152,824 | 135,011 |
| Interest Expense | 5,523 | 4,939 | 5,798 |
| Interest Income | $(1,099)$ | $(4,057)$ | $(3,438)$ |
| Other Expense (Income) | 2,255 | 227 | $(1,179)$ |
| Net Other Income | 6,679 | 1,109 | 1,181 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 65,814 | 151,715 | 133,830 |
| Income Tax Expense | 23,554 | 56,259 | 47,808 |
| Net Income | $\$ 42,260$ | $\$ 95,456$ | $\$ 86,022$ |
| Net Income Per Share - Basic | $\$ 1.00$ | $\$ 2.23$ | $\$ 1.97$ |
| Net Income Per Share - Diluted | $\$ 0.99$ | $\$ 2.19$ | $\$ 1.93$ |


| Consolidated Balance Sheet |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, | 2009 | 2008 |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 27,642 | \$ 101,830 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 198,792 | 245,119 |
| Inventories | 254,690 | 210,723 |
| Other current assets | 44,470 | 48,525 |
| Total current assets | 525,594 | 606,197 |
| Property - net | 62,735 | 64,997 |
| Goodwill | 63,108 | 64,685 |
| Intangibles, net | 95,832 | 19,164 |
| Other assets | 62,059 | 43,728 |
| Total Assets | \$ 809,328 | \$ 798,771 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ 80,655 | \$ 109,822 |
| Short-term debt | 5,000 |  |
| Compensation and related benefits | 34,695 | 56,172 |
| Other current liabilities | 36,206 | 31,017 |
| Total current liabilities | 156,556 | 197,011 |
| Long-term debt | 75,000 | 25,000 |
| Postemployment benefits | 43,186 | 37,746 |
| Other liabilities | 26,484 | 36,939 |
| Total Liabilities | 301,226 | 296,696 |
| Shareholders' Equity |  |  |
| Preferred stock - no par value; |  |  |
| 2,500 shares authorized; |  |  |
| none issued or outstanding |  |  |
| Common stock - no par value; |  |  |
| 80,000 shares authorized; |  |  |
| 54,213 shares issued | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 136,895 | 133,078 |
| Income retained for use in the business | 560,574 | 543,692 |
| Treasury shares - at cost |  |  |
| (11,929 and 11,923 shares) | $(191,518)$ | $(190,944)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive |  |  |
| income (loss) | $(7,849)$ | 6,249 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 508,102 | 502,075 |
| Total Liab. \& Shareholders' Equity | \$ 809,328 | \$ 798,771 |


| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ended June 30, | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 42,260 | \$ 95,456 | \$ 86,022 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income |  |  |  |
| to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |
| Goodwill impairment | 36,605 |  |  |
| Deferred income taxes | $(16,648)$ | $(5,809)$ | $(6,424)$ |
| Depreciation | 12,736 | 12,776 | 13,489 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 9,655 | 1,663 | 1,045 |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 4,540 | 2,595 | 1,462 |
| Share-based compensation | 4,092 | 3,376 | 2,927 |
| Unrealized foreign exchange transaction losses | 806 |  |  |
| Treasury shares contributed to employee benefit |  |  |  |
| and deferred compensation plans | 410 | 812 | 1,921 |
| Gain on sale of property | (320) | $(1,214)$ | (334) |
| Amortization of gain on interest rate |  |  |  |
| swap terminations |  | (395) | (791) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, |  |  |  |
| net of acquisitions: |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | 63,929 | 8,306 | $(17,415)$ |
| Inventories | $(20,581)$ | $(1,484)$ | $(7,934)$ |
| Other operating assets | 6,858 | $(13,950)$ | $(1,369)$ |
| Accounts payable | $(38,124)$ | 11,881 | $(12,220)$ |
| Other operating liabilities | $(24,918)$ | 3,710) | 10,546 |
| Net Cash provided by Operating Activities | 81,300 | 110,303 | 70,925 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities |  |  |  |
| Property purchases | $(6,988)$ | $(8,410)$ | $(11,192)$ |
| Proceeds from property sales | 757 | 1,372 | 1,275 |
| Net cash paid for acquisition of businesses, |  |  |  |
| net of cash acquired of \$185 and \$2,355 |  |  |  |
| in 2009 and 2008, respectively |  |  |  |
|  | $(172,199)$ | $(22,105)$ |  |
| Other |  | 2,304 | (302) |
| Net Cash used in Investing Activities | $(178,430)$ | $(26,839)$ | $(10,219)$ |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities |  |  |  |
| Net short-term borrowings under |  |  |  |
| revolving credit facility | 5,000 |  |  |
| Borrowings under revolving |  |  |  |
| credit facility classified as long-term | 50,000 |  |  |
| Long-term debt repayment |  | $(50,000)$ |  |
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| Purchases of treasury shares | $(1,210)$ | $(33,224)$ | $(33,988)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends paid | $(25,378)$ | $(25,728)$ | $(20,970)$ |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based |  |  |  |
| Compensation | 802 | 3,761 | 3,885 |
| Exercise of stock options and |  |  |  |
| appreciation rights | 408 | 1,664 | 2,663 |
| Other | $(1,120)$ |  |  |
| Net Cash provided by (used in) Financing |  |  |  |
| Activities | 28,502 | $(103,527)$ | $(48,410)$ |
| Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash | $(5,560)$ | 2,228 | 941 |
| (Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | $(74,188)$ | $(17,835)$ | 13,237 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 101,830 | 119,665 | 106,428 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ 27,642 | \$ 101,830 | \$119,665 |
| Supplemental Cash Flow Information |  |  |  |
| Cash paid during the year for: |  |  |  |
| Income taxes | \$ 43,081 | \$ 60,049 | \$ 42,857 |
| Interest | \$ 5,265 | \$ 4,763 | \$ 5,488 |

(Applied Industrial Technologies, 2009)

| Appendix 3 Ratio Formulas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio type or name of ratio | Ratio formula | Interpretation of ratio |
| Liquidity |  |  |
| Current ratio | Total current assets Total current liabilities | Measures company’s shortterm debt paying ability |
| Acid test (quick) ratio | (Cash + marketable securities + current receivables)/Total current liabilities | Measures company's debt paying ability in the very short term (ability to meet current liabilities without selling inventory) |
| Working capital | Total current assets - total current liabilities | Measures short-term debt paying ability |
| Profitability |  |  |
| Gross margin percentage | Gross margin/Sales | A measure of profitability how much of each sales dollar remains after covering cost of goods sold? |
| Operating profit margin | Income from operations/Sales | A measure of profitability for each sales dollar, how much is operating profit? |
| Margin | Net income/Sales | A measure of profitability - of each sales dollar, how much is net income? |
| Earnings per share | (Net income - preferred dividends)/ Average number of common shares outstanding | Amount of net income per common share |
| Price-earnings ratio | Market price per share/Earnings per share | A measure of how "the market" values a stock |
| Dividend payout ratio | Dividends per share/Earnings per share | The fraction of a company's income that it pays out in dividends |
| Dividend yield ratio | Dividends per share/Market price per share | Measures the cash return from dividends on a share of stock |
| Return on total assets | (Net income + interest expense x [1tax rate])/Total assets | Measures the rate of return on a company's assets - how well assets have been used by managers to earn income |


| Return on common <br> stockholders' equity | (Net income - preferred <br> dividends)/(Total stockholders' equity <br> - preferred stock) | Measures the rate of return on <br> amount invested by common <br> stockholders. A comparison to <br> return on total assets indicates <br> how well the company has <br> used leverage (debt) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Leverage or debt <br> ratios |  | What fraction of assets was <br> financed by borrowing? |
| Debt to assets | Total liabilities/total assets | Total liabilities/total stockholders' <br> equity |
| Debt to equity | Compares the share of a <br> company's assets financed <br> through debt with the share <br> financed by stockholders |  |
| Times interest earned | Earnings before interest expense and <br> taxes/interest expense | Measures a company's ability <br> to cover (meet) its interest <br> expense from earnings of the <br> period |
| Activity (asset <br> management) ratios | Sales on account/Accounts receivable <br> balance | A measure of how many times <br> accounts receivable turn over <br> (are collected) during a year |
| Accounts receivable <br> turnover | Estimate for average number <br> of days required to collect <br> receivables |  |
| Average collection <br> period for accounts <br> receivable | 365 days/Accounts receivable <br> turnover | Measures how many times <br> inventory turns over (is sold) <br> during a year |
| Inventory turnover | Cost of goods sold/Inventory balance | Estimates the number of days <br> required to sell inventory |
| Average sale period | 365/Inventory turnover | A measure for how effectively <br> a company uses its assets to <br> generate revenues |
| Asset turnover | Sales/total assets |  |

(Compiled by authors.)
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